

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/756,970                     | 01/13/2004                | Kevin T. Foley       | MSDI-223/PC444.06   | 3770             |
| 52196<br>KRIEG DEVA            | 7590 02/01/2008           |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800 |                           | )                    | HOFFMAN, MARY C     |                  |
| INDIANAPOI                     | DIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2709 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                |                           |                      | 3733                | ·                |
|                                | •                         |                      | ·                   |                  |
|                                |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                |                           |                      | 02/01/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.                        | Applicant(s)             |                   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/756,970                             | FOLEY ET AL.             |                   |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner                               | Art Unit                 |                   |  |  |  |
| ,   | Mary Hoffman                           | 3733                     |                   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c     | orrespondence add        | ress              |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  |  | •                        |                   |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | 06.07(f).                              |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   |  |                          | ecause            |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | TE below);               |                   |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  | • •                                    | ducing or simplifying    | the issues for    |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rei    | ected claims             |                   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>  |  | timely filed amendme     | ent canceling the |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | ·                                      | •                        | -                 |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | II be entered and an e   | explanation of    |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:  |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>54-79</u> .   |  | ,                        |                   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but   | it before or on the date of filing a N | otice of Appeal will no  | ot be entered     |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and   |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome all rejections under appe     | al and/or appellant fa   | ils to provide a  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attact  | ned.              |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:  | ered but does NOT place the appli      | cation in condition for  | allowance         |  |  |  |
| See Continuation Sheet.   |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |                          |                   |  |  |  |
| - O   | <b>/</b> .                             |                          |                   |  |  |  |
|   | BENTY O                                | n D //23/6               | ad .              |  |  |  |
| J.10  |  | n (M 11001)              | 90                |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered and are not deemed persuasive. The final rejection mailed 11/01/2007 is deemed proper.